



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,298	07/30/2002	Hermann Steffan	MAF0001.US	4893

7590 04/03/2003

Todd T Taylor  
Taylor & Aust  
142 South Main Street  
P O Box 560  
Avilla, IN 46710

EXAMINER

ALLEN, ANDRE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2855

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,298	STEFFAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andre J. Allen	2855

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 21-41 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 21-41 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made of the preliminary amendment filed 7-30-02.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 21 the recitation "braking force being so large so as to accelerate said crash test carriage" is not clear. It is not clear how a braking force could accelerate a carriage, when braking is defined as to decelerate an object. Also the recitation "so high" is also unclear. That is what braking force is defined as high?

Claims 31-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary

structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: a compressor and brake device.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stein et al 348'.

Regarding claim 31 Stein et al 348' teaches a pressure-generating source 206 62 (col. 6 line 17) having a pressure chamber 62 (col. 6 line 18) associated therewith,

a piston 210 (col. 5 lines 45-50) located within said pressure-generating source 62, said piston restricting said chamber volume;

a thrust rod 60 (col. 5 lines 45-50) positioned within said pressure-generating source opposite said chamber (col. 5 lines 60-68 and col. 6 lines 1-5), said thrust rod 60 being operatively coupled with said piston 210 (col. 5 lines 45-58), said thrust rod being configured for acting upon said crash-test carriage (col. 6 line 5); and

a brake device 40 configured for acting upon one of said crash-test carriage and said thrust rod (col. 4 lines 35-36).

Regarding claim 31 Stein 348' does not explicitly show a chamber volume however, since Stein 348' at least teaches a chamber it would be clearly suggestive that this particular chamber 62 would include volume as does any cylinder.

Regarding claim 31 Stein et al 348' does not explicitly call for a compressor however, Stein et al 348' does teach a system that stores high pressure compressed gas (col. 4 line 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a compressor for the purpose of generating a pressure.

Regarding claim 32 Stein et al 348' teaches a safety valve 208 operatively connected with said pressure chamber (col. 6 lines 15-20).

Regarding claim 32 Stein 348' does not teach the said safety valve restricting a maximum pressure within said pressure chamber. However Stein et al teaches a pressure regulator 300 that maintains pressure. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to use a valve to restrict pressure or a pressure regulator as taught by Stein for the purpose of restricting pressure.

*Conclusion*

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art disclosed herein, teaches vehicle crash test apparatus's and methods thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 703-3081989. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 703-305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3432 for regular communications and 703-308-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,298  
Art Unit: 2855

Page 6

A.J.A  
March 13, 2003



Hermon S. Williams  
HEZRON WILLIAMS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800